Infotheory for Statistics and Learning Lecture 14

- I-projections [CT:10.8], [CTu]
- Convergence of iterative projections [CTu], [C1]
- Maximum likelihood as a projection [C2]
- The EM algorithm [C2]

Mikael Skoglund

I-projections

Assume \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} are convex sets of probability measures on (Ω, \mathcal{A}) i.e., for \mathcal{P} ; P and P' in $\mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \gamma P + (1 - \gamma)P' \in \mathcal{P}$ for any $\gamma \in (0, 1)$

For any R on $(\Omega,\mathcal{A}),$ if there is a $P^*\in\mathcal{P}$ such that

$$\inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}} D(P \| R) = D(P^* \| R)$$

then P^* is an *I*-projection of R on \mathcal{P} , notation $P^* = \prod_{\mathcal{P}}(R)$ Similarly, if there is a $Q^* \in \mathcal{Q}$ such that

$$\inf_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} D(R \| Q) = D(R \| Q^*)$$

then Q^* is a reverse I-projection of R on $\mathcal{Q},$ notation $Q^*=\bar{\Pi}_{\mathcal{Q}}(R)$ We also define

$$d(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}) = \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}, Q \in \mathcal{Q}} D(P \| Q)$$

If $P^* = \Pi_{\mathcal{P}}(R)$ exists, then

$$D(P||R) \ge D(P||P^*) + D(P^*||R)$$

for every $P \in \mathcal{P}$

If $Q^* = \bar{\Pi}_{\mathcal{Q}}(R)$ exists, then

$$D(P||Q^*) \le D(P||R) + D(P||Q)$$

for any P on (Ω, \mathcal{A}) and every $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$

For an arbitrary Q_0 on (Ω, \mathcal{A}) , and with $P_1 = \Pi_{\mathcal{P}}(Q_0)$ and $Q_1 = \overline{\Pi}_{\mathcal{Q}}(P_1)$, we get

$$D(P||Q) + D(P||Q_0) \ge D(P||Q_1) + D(P_1||Q_1)$$

for every $P\in \mathcal{P}$ and $Q\in \mathcal{Q}$

Mikael Skoglund

Proof of the first inequality: Let

$$p(\omega) = \frac{dP^*}{dR}, \ q(\omega) = \frac{dP}{dR}$$

Since $P_t = (1-t)P + tP^* \in \mathcal{P}$ for each $t \in (0,1]$

$$f(t) = D(P_t || R)$$

is minimized at t = 1. Thus

$$0 \ge \frac{f(1) - f(t)}{1 - t} = \int \frac{1}{1 - t} (p \log p - p_t \log p_t) dR$$

where $p_t = (1-t)q + tp$. Letting $t \to 1$ we get

$$0 \ge \int (1 + \log p)(p - 1)dR = D(P^* ||R) - D(P ||R) + D(P ||P^*)$$

The proof of the second is similar, and the third follows from the first two

Lemma:

For real-valued sequences $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ and $\{b_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ and a number $c<\infty$ such that

$$c + b_{n-1} \ge b_n + a_n, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

it holds that

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} a_n \le c$$

If in addition

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \max(c - a_n, 0) < \infty$$

we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = c$$

Mikael Skoglund

5/14

Iterative I- and reverse I-projections

Assume that $D(P||Q) < \infty$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$, and that $d(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}) = D(P^*||Q^*)$... can be generalized, see [CTu]

For an arbitrary $P_0 \in \mathcal{P}$, let

$$Q_0 = \bar{\Pi}_{\mathcal{Q}}(P_0), \ P_1 = \Pi_{\mathcal{P}}(Q_0), \ Q_1 = \bar{\Pi}_{\mathcal{Q}}(P_1), \dots$$

then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} D(P_n \| Q_n) = d(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$$

Proof: For $P_{n+1} = \prod_{\mathcal{P}}(Q_n)$ and $Q_{n+1} = \overline{\prod}_{\mathcal{Q}}(P_{n+1})$ we have

$$D(P||Q) + D(P||Q_n) \ge D(P||Q_{n+1}) + D(P_{n+1}||Q_{n+1})$$

for all $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$

Apply the lemma with
$$c = D(P^* || Q^*)$$
, $b_{n-1} = D(P^* || Q_n)$,
 $b_n = D(P^* || Q_{n+1})$ and $a_n = D(P_{n+1} || Q_{n+1})$

I-projections for a finite Ω

Consider $P^* = \Pi_{\mathcal{P}}(R)$ for R on (Ω, \mathcal{A}) and a convex \mathcal{P} If for every $P \in \mathcal{P}$ there is a $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ and a $P' \in \mathcal{P}$ such that

$$P^* = \gamma P + (1 - \gamma)P'$$

then

$$D(P||R) = D(P||P^*) + D(P^*||R) < \infty$$

for every $P \in \mathcal{P}$

For a finite Ω , the above is always true when \mathcal{P} is linear in the sense that $Q = \gamma P + (1 - \gamma)P' \in \mathcal{P}$ for any P and P' in \mathcal{P} and all γ such that Q is a probability measure

Mikael Skoglund

For a finite Ω and with \mathcal{P}_i , i = 1, ..., k, linear for each i and assuming $\mathcal{P} = \bigcap_i \mathcal{P}_i \neq \emptyset$, let R be any measure on (Ω, \mathcal{A}) such that there is a $P \in \mathcal{P}$ for which $P \ll R$. Define $P_1 = \prod_{\mathcal{P}_1}(R)$ and $P_{n+1} = \prod_{\mathcal{P}_i}(P_n)$ for n = mk + i, m = 0, 1, 2, ..., and $1 \le i \le k$ Let $P^* = \prod_{\mathcal{P}}(R)$, then $\lim_{n\to\infty} D(P^*||P_n) = 0$ and hence also

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathsf{TV}(P^*, P_n) = 0$$

by Pinsker's inequality

Proof: We have $D(P^* || P_{n-1}) = D(P^* || P_n) + D(P_n || P_{n-1})$ which gives

$$D(P^* || R) = D(P^* || P_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n D(P_i || P_{i-1})$$

 $\Rightarrow \lim_{n\to\infty} D(P_n || P_{n-1}) = 0$. This then implies the result.

Maximum Likelihood

Assume $X_i \sim \text{iid } P$ for i = 1, ..., n, and $X_i \in \mathcal{X}$ with $|\mathcal{X}| < \infty$ Take $\mathcal{X} = \{1, ..., M\}$ for simplicity For $X^n = (X_1, ..., X_n)$ let $T_{x^n}(i)$ denote the type of $X^n = x^n$ For the pmf $p(i) = \Pr(X = i)$ of P, note that

$$\Pr(X^{n} = x^{n}) = p(1)^{nT_{x^{n}}(1)}p(2)^{nT_{x^{n}}(2)} \cdots p(M)^{nT_{x^{n}}(M)}$$
$$= \exp\left(n\sum_{i=1}^{M} T_{x^{n}}(i)\ln p(i)\right)$$
$$= \exp\left[-n(H(T_{x^{n}}) + D(T_{x^{n}}||p))\right]$$

(with $H(T_{x^n})$ and $D(T_{x^n} \| p)$ in nats)

Mikael Skoglund

Assume p is unknown but it is known that $p \in \mathcal{P}$ for a convex and closed $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^M$ (for example the set of all pmf's) Then, given $X^n = x^n$ the ML estimate of p is

$$p^* = \bar{\Pi}_{\mathcal{P}}(T_{x^n})$$

Expectation–Maximization (EM)

Consider $X \in \{1, 2, ..., K\}$ and $Y \in \{1, 2, ..., M\}$ jointly distributed according to P with pmf p(i, j) = Pr(X = i, Y = j)

Assume we generate X^n and Y^n jointly iid $\sim P$ but only observe $Y^n=y^n$

• X is a latent or "hidden" variable

We wish to estimate P from Y^n

Assume it is known that $p \in \mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^{K \times M}$ for \mathcal{P} convex and closed

Mikael Skoglund

Let $T_{X^n,y^n}(i,j)$ be the joint type for random X^n and observed y^n Pick an arbitrary $q_0 \in \mathcal{P}$, let $\ell = 1$ Expectation (E) step: Set

$$T_{\ell} = E[T_{X^n, y^n} | Y^n = y^n]$$

assuming $q_{\ell-1}$ is the correct p

Maximization (M) step: Set q_{ℓ} equal to the ML estimate of P assuming T_{ℓ} is the joint type, T_{x^n,y^n} , for the full observation, i.e.

$$q_\ell = \bar{\Pi}_\mathcal{P}(T_\ell)$$

Repeat for $\ell = 2, 3, \ldots$

Note that

$$T_{x^n,y^n}(i,j) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{1}(\{x_k = i\})\mathbb{1}(\{y_k = j\})$$

Hence

$$E[T_{X^n,y^n}(i,j)|Y^n = y^n] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \Pr(X_k = i|Y^n = y^n) \mathbb{1}(\{y_k = j\})$$
$$= \frac{p(i,j)}{p(j)} T_{y^n}(j)$$

where $p(j) = \sum_i p(i,j)$ and $T_{y^n}(j) = n^{-1} \sum_k \mathbbm{1}(\{y_k = j\})$ That is, for the E-step

$$T_{\ell}(i,j) = \frac{q_{\ell-1}(i,j)}{q_{\ell-1}(j)} T_{y^n}(j)$$

Mikael Skoglund

Since $T_{\ell}(i,j)/T_{y^n}(j) = T_{\ell}(i|j) = q_{\ell-1}(i,j)/q_{\ell-1}(j) = q_{\ell-1}(i|j)$ we get

$$D(T_{\ell} || q_{\ell-1}) = \sum_{j} T_{y^{n}}(j) \sum_{i} T_{\ell}(i|j) \ln \frac{T_{\ell}(i|j)}{q_{\ell-1}(i|j)} + D(T_{y^{n}}(j) || q_{\ell-1}(j))$$
$$= 0 + D(T_{y^{n}}(j) || q_{\ell-1}(j)) = \min_{T \in \mathcal{T}} D(T || q_{\ell-1})$$

where $q_{\ell-1}(j) = \sum_i q_{\ell-1}(i,j)$ and

$$\mathcal{T} = \{ \text{types } T : \sum_i T(i,j) = T_{y^n}(j) \}$$

i.e. $T_{\ell} = \Pi_{\mathcal{T}}(q_{\ell-1})$

Consequently we have,

E-step:
$$T_{\ell} = \Pi_{\mathcal{T}}(q_{\ell-1})$$

M-step: $q_{\ell} = \overline{\Pi}_{\mathcal{P}}(T_{\ell})$