Infotheory for Statistics and Learning Lecture 5

- Repeated iid experiments [PW:28.4–5]
- The Gaussian location model [PW:28.2]
- The mutual information method [PW:30]
- Fano's method [PW:6.3,31.4],[CT:2.10]
- Capacity and information radius [PW:5.3,30.1]

Mikael Skoglund

Product of Experiments

Consider the model $P_{\theta} = P_{\theta_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes P_{\theta_p}$ for $\theta_i \in \Theta_i$ with observation

$$X = (X_1, \dots, X_p) \sim P_{\theta}$$

and loss

$$\ell(\theta, \hat{\theta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \ell_i(\theta_i, \hat{\theta}_i)$$

For $R^* = \min\max$ risk of product, $R^*_i = \min\max$ risk of individual and $S^*_i = \sup_{\pi_i} R^*_{\pi_i} =$ worst-case Bayes of individual, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} S_{i}^{*} \le R^{*} \le \sum_{i=1}^{p} R_{i}^{*}$$

Thus if the minimax theorem holds for each i, we get $R^* = \sum_i R_i^*$

2/14

Repeated iid Experiments

Consider instead n repeated independent and identically distributed (iid) experiments:

 $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n), \quad X_i \sim P_{\theta}$ and independent

The resulting minimax risk R_n^* is non-increasing, and usually $\to 0$ as $n \to \infty$

Sample complexity

$$n^*(\varepsilon) = \min\{n : R_n^* \le \varepsilon\}$$

Mikael Skoglund

Example: Gaussian location model (GLM),

$$X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma^2 I_p), \ i = 1, \dots, n$$

iid in *i*, and $\ell(\theta, \hat{\theta}) = \|\theta - \hat{\theta}\|^2$. First, let n = 1 and $X = X_1$: For any π , $\theta \sim \pi$, $R_{\pi}(\hat{\theta}) = E_{\pi}[E_{\theta}\{E[\|\theta - \hat{\theta}\|^2|X]\}]$ Let $g(x) = E[\theta|X = x]$, then for each X = x $E[\|\theta - \hat{\theta}\|^2|X = x] = E[\|\theta - g(x)\|^2|X = x] + E[\|g(x) - \hat{\theta}(x)\|^2|X = x]$ Thus $\hat{\theta}^*(x) = g(x)$ We also know that

$$|E[(\theta - g(X))(\theta - g(X))^T]| \ge \frac{1}{(2\pi e)^p} 2^{2h(\theta|X)}$$

where the RHS is maximized for $P_{\theta|X}$ Gaussian and the LHS = RHS for θ and X jointly Gaussian

Because

$$h(\theta|X) \le \sum_{k=1}^{p} h(\theta_k|X_k)$$

with = if (θ_k, X_k) are independent in k, we can take $\theta_k \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \gamma)$ and independent in k, to get

$$h(\theta|X) = \frac{p}{2}\log 2\pi e \frac{\sigma^2 \gamma}{\sigma^2 + \gamma} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{(2\pi e)^p} 2^{2h(\theta|X)} = \left(\frac{\sigma^2 \gamma}{\sigma^2 + \gamma}\right)^p$$

and since $E[(\theta - g(X))(\theta - g(X))^T] = E[(\theta_i - g_i(X))^2]I_p$ we get

$$\sup_{\pi} R^*_{\pi} = \lim_{\gamma \to \infty} p \frac{\sigma^2 \gamma}{\sigma^2 + \gamma} = p \sigma^2$$

and since $\sup_{\pi} R_{\pi}^* \leq R^*$ and $R(\hat{\theta}(x)) = p\sigma^2$ is achieved by $\hat{\theta}(x) = x$ we have also $R^* = p\sigma^2$ (for n = 1)

Mikael Skoglund

5	/	1	4

For n > 1, let $\bar{x}_n = n^{-1} \sum_i x_i$, then

$$f(x|\theta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{(pn)/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n ||x_i - \theta||^2\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{n^{p/2} (2\pi\sigma^2)^{(n-1)p/2}} f(\bar{x}_n|\theta) e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\sum_i ||x_i||^2 - n||\bar{x}_n||^2)}$$

Thus \bar{X}_n is a sufficient statistic of X for θ and observing $\{X_i\}$ is equivalent to seeing $\bar{X}_n \sim \mathcal{N}(\theta, (\sigma^2/n)I_p)$, and consequently

$$R_n^* = p \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$
 and $n^*(\varepsilon) = \left\lceil p \frac{\sigma^2}{\varepsilon} \right\rceil$

 \Rightarrow fundamental trade-off between p and n

Information Bounds

For a given P_{θ} , $\theta \sim \pi$ and $P_{\hat{\theta}|X}$ such that $E[\ell(\theta, \hat{\theta})] \leq D$, we have

$$R(D) = \inf_{P_{\hat{\theta}|\theta}: E[\ell(\theta, \hat{\theta})] \le D} I(\theta; \hat{\theta}) \le I(\theta; \hat{\theta}) \le I(\theta; X) \le \sup_{\pi} I(\theta; X)$$

Assume $\ell(heta, \hat{ heta}) = \| heta - \hat{ heta}\|^r$ (rth power of a norm over \mathbb{R}^p),

$$\begin{split} R(D) &= \inf_{\substack{P_{\hat{\theta}|\theta}: E[\|\theta - \hat{\theta}\|^r] \le D}} \{h(\theta) - h(\theta - \hat{\theta}|\hat{\theta})\} \\ &\ge h(\theta) - \sup_{\substack{P_{\hat{\theta}|\theta}: E[\|\theta - \hat{\theta})\|^r] \le D}} h(\theta - \hat{\theta}) \\ &\ge h(\theta) - \log\left(V_p \left(\frac{Dre}{p}\right)^{p/r} \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{p}{r}\right)\right) \end{split}$$

where

$$V_p = \int_{\|x\| \le 1} dx$$

Mikael Skoglund

The RHS of the bound = the Shannon lower bound on R(D)The bound is tight as $D \rightarrow 0$

For p=1, $\ell(\theta,\hat{\theta})=(\theta-\hat{\theta})^2$, we get $V_1=1$, $\Gamma(3/2)=\sqrt{\pi}/2$ and

$$R(D) \ge h(\theta) - \frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi eD) = \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{\sigma^2}{D} - D(\pi ||g)$$

with $g=\mathcal{N}(0,\sigma^2)$ and $\sigma^2=E[\theta^2],$ recovering our previous bound

For the GLM $\bar{X}_n \sim \mathcal{N}(\theta, (1/n)I_p)$ with $\ell(\theta, \hat{\theta}) = \|\theta - \hat{\theta}\|^r$, we get $\frac{p}{2}\log(1+n\gamma) \geq I(\theta; \bar{X}_n) \geq I(\theta, \hat{\theta}) \geq R(R_\pi^*)$ $\geq h(\theta) - \log\left(V_p\left(\frac{R_\pi^* re}{p}\right)^{p/r} \Gamma\left(1+\frac{p}{r}\right)\right)$

for any π s.t. $E\|\theta\|^2 = p\gamma < \infty$. Thus

$$R_{\pi}^* \ge \frac{p}{re} \left(V_p \Gamma \left(1 + \frac{p}{r} \right) \right)^{-r/p} 2^{(r/p)(h(\theta) - (p/2)\log(1 + n\gamma))}$$

Maximizing over π s.t. $E\|\theta\|^2=p\gamma$ and then letting $\gamma\to\infty$ we thus get

$$R_n^* \ge \frac{p}{re} \left(V_p \Gamma \left(1 + \frac{p}{r} \right) \right)^{-r/p} \left(\frac{2\pi e}{n} \right)^{r/2}$$

Sanity check, p=1 and $r=2 \Rightarrow \mathsf{RHS} = 1/n = R_n^*$

Mikael Skoglund

Fano Bounds

Consider a discrete and finite Θ , i.e. $\theta \in \{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_M\}$ For π uniform on Θ and $\theta \to X \to \hat{\theta}$ use

$$\ell(\theta, \hat{\theta}) = \mathbb{1}(\{\theta \neq \hat{\theta}\}) \Rightarrow E[\ell(\theta, \hat{\theta})] = \Pr(\hat{\theta} \neq \theta) = P_e$$

Recall that for $P_Y = P_{Y|X} \circ P_X$ and $Q_Y = P_{Y|X} \circ Q_X$ (two input distributions through the same kernel), $D(P_Y || Q_Y) \le D(P_X || Q_X)$

With $P_X \to P_{\theta,\hat{\theta}}$, $Q_X \to \pi \otimes P_{\hat{\theta}}$, and $P_{Y|X} \to P_{Z|\theta,\hat{\theta}}$ where $Z \in \{0,1\}$ and $P_{Z|\theta,\hat{\theta}}(\{Z=1\}|\theta,\hat{\theta}) = \ell(\theta,\hat{\theta})$ we get

$$P_Z = P_{Z|\theta,\hat{\theta}} \circ P_{\theta,\hat{\theta}}, \quad Q_Z = P_{Z|\theta,\hat{\theta}} \circ (\pi \otimes P_{\hat{\theta}})$$

that is, $P_Z(\{Z=1\}) = P_e$ and $Q_Z(\{Z=1\}) = 1 - 1/M$. Thus

$$I(\theta; \hat{\theta}) \ge D(P_Z || Q_Z) = \log M - P_e \log(M - 1) - H(P_Z)$$

Mikael Skoglund

Hence since $H(P_Z) \leq 1$, we arrive at Fano's inequality

$$P_e \ge 1 - \frac{I(\theta; \theta) + 1}{\log M} \ge 1 - \frac{I(\theta; X) + 1}{\log M}$$

For $\Theta = \mathbb{R}^p$ and $\ell(\theta, \hat{\theta}) = \|\theta - \hat{\theta}\|$ (for $\|\cdot\|$ a norm on \mathbb{R}^p) Pick a discrete subset $\tilde{\Theta} = \{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_M\}$ such that $\|\theta_i - \theta_j\| \ge \varepsilon$ Consider $\theta \to X \to \hat{\theta} \to f(\hat{\theta})$, $f(\hat{\theta}) = \theta_i$ if $\|\hat{\theta} - \theta_i\| \le \|\hat{\theta} - \theta_j\|$ Assume the true θ is $\theta_k \in \tilde{\Theta}$, then

$$\Pr(f(\hat{\theta}) \neq \theta_k) \le \Pr\left(\|\hat{\theta} - \theta_k\| \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \le \frac{E\|\hat{\theta} - \theta_k\|}{\varepsilon/2}$$

Mikael Skoglund

11/14

Define π on $(\mathbb{R}^p, \mathcal{B}^p)$ by picking θ uniformly from $\tilde{\Theta}$, then

$$R^* \ge \sup_{\pi} R^*_{\pi} \ge \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M E \|\hat{\theta} - \theta_i\|$$
$$\ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2M} \sum_{i=1}^M \Pr(f(\hat{\theta}) \neq \theta_i) \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\sup_{\pi} I(\theta; X) + 1}{\log M}\right)$$

Hence R^* is limited from below by the capacity

$$C = \sup_{\pi} I(\theta; X)$$

of the link $\theta \to X$ in $\theta \to X \to \hat{\theta}$

Information Radius

For any function f(x, y), $x \in A$, $y \in B$, set $g(x) = \inf_y f(x, y)$ Thus $\sup_x g(x) \le \sup_x f(x, y)$ for all $y \in B$, in particular $\sup_x g(x) \le \inf_y (\sup_x f(x, y))$, and thus

$$\sup_{x} \inf_{y} f(x, y) \le \inf_{y} \sup_{x} f(x, y)$$

For some set Ω and $\ell:\Omega^2\to [0,\infty),$ the radius of $A\subset\Omega$ is

$$r(A) = \inf_{y \in \Omega} \sup_{x \in A} \ell(x, y)$$

and the diameter of A is

$$d(A) = \sup_{(x,y) \in A^2} \ell(x,y)$$

Note that $r(A) \leq d(A)$

Mikael Skoglund

For $\theta \to X \to \hat{\theta}$, let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_{\theta}\}$ and remember that

$$I(X;Y) = \min_{Q_Y} D(P_{Y|X} ||Q_Y|P_X)$$

Thus for the capacity

$$C = \sup_{\pi} I(\theta; X) = \sup_{\pi} \inf_{Q_X} D(P_{X|\theta} || Q_X | \pi) \le \inf_{Q_X} \sup_{\pi} D(P_{X|\theta} || Q_X | \pi)$$
$$= \inf_{Q} \sup_{\theta} D(P_{\theta} || Q) = r(\mathcal{P}) \le d(\mathcal{P}) = \sup_{\theta \neq \theta'} D(P_{\theta} || P_{\theta'})$$

with radius and diameter in the sense of $\ell(P,Q)=D(P\|Q)$ So, e.g. for the Fano bound

$$R^* \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left(1 - \frac{r(\mathcal{P}) + 1}{\log M} \right) \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\sup_{\theta \neq \theta'} D(P_{\theta} \| P_{\theta'}) + 1}{\log M} \right)$$

Mikael Skoglund