

# Project Session

Jie Lu, Richard Combes, and Alexandre Proutiere  
Automatic Control, KTH

October 22, 2013

## Problem 1. Strongly concave dual function.

Consider the following linearly constrained optimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}{\text{minimize}} && f(x) \\ & \text{subject to} && Ax + b = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is strongly convex with convexity parameter  $\mu > 0$  (not necessarily differentiable) and  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$  has full row rank. Suppose that the subgradients of  $f$  satisfy the Lipschitz condition

$$\|s(x_1) - s(x_2)\| \leq L\|x_1 - x_2\|, \quad \forall s(x_1) \in \partial f(x_1), \quad \forall s(x_2) \in \partial f(x_2), \quad \text{for some } L > 0.$$

- (a) Prove that the corresponding dual function  $g(\nu)$  is strongly concave with concavity parameter  $-\mu\lambda_{\min}(AA^T)/L^2 < 0$ , where  $\lambda_{\min}(\cdot)$  denotes the smallest eigenvalue of a real symmetric matrix.
- (b) Provide an algorithm which generates a sequence  $\{x_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  such that  $\|x_k - x^*\| \leq c \cdot q^k$ , where  $c \in (0, \infty)$  and  $q \in (0, 1)$  are some constants and  $x^*$  is the unique primal optimal solution.

**Hint:** Let  $x^*(\nu) = \arg \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x) + \nu^T(Ax + b)$  and express the (sub)gradient of  $g(\nu)$  in terms of  $x^*(\nu)$ .

**Problem 2. Linear convergence of gradient projection method.**

Consider the following constrained optimization problem

$$\min_{x \in X} f(x),$$

where  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is convex and has Lipschitz continuous gradient with Lipschitz constant  $L > 0$  and  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a closed convex set. Suppose the optimal set  $X^* = \arg \min_{x \in X} f(x)$  is nonempty. Let  $\{x_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$  be a sequence generated by the gradient projection method

$$x_{k+1} = P_X[x_k - \alpha \nabla f(x_k)], \quad \forall k \geq 0, \quad \text{with } x_0 \in X,$$

where  $0 < \alpha < \frac{2}{L}$ . Assume that for every closed bounded set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , there exists  $\sigma_S > 0$  such that

$$\text{dist}(x, X^*) \leq \sigma_S \|P_X[x - \alpha \nabla f(x)] - x\|, \quad \forall x \in S \cap X, \quad (1)$$

where  $\text{dist}(x, X^*) = \inf_{x^* \in X^*} \|x - x^*\|$ . Prove that there exists  $q \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\text{dist}(x_{k+1}, X^*) \leq q \text{dist}(x_k, X^*), \quad \forall k \geq 0.$$

**Hint 1:** Use the fact  $(x - P_X[x])^T(z - P_X[x]) \leq 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \forall z \in X$  and the optimality condition  $\nabla f(x^*)(x - x^*) \geq 0 \forall x \in X$  to prove that

$$(x_k - x_{k+1})^T(x^* - x_{k+1}) + \alpha(\nabla f(x_k) - \nabla f(x^*))^T(x_{k+1} - x^*) \leq 0, \quad \forall x^* \in X^*.$$

**Hint 2:**  $(x_k - x_{k+1})^T(x^* - x_{k+1}) = (-\|x_k - x^*\|^2 + \|x_k - x_{k+1}\|^2 + \|x_{k+1} - x^*\|^2)/2$ .

**Hint 3:** Prove that  $(\nabla f(x_k) - \nabla f(x^*))^T(x_{k+1} - x^*) \geq -\frac{L}{4}\|x_{k+1} - x_k\|^2$ . Here you need the inequality  $\|y\|^2 + y^T z \geq -\frac{1}{4}\|z\|^2$ .

**Hint 4:** Combining the above, show that  $x_k$  remains in a closed bounded subset of  $X$  and then apply (1) to get the linear convergence rate.

**Problem 3. Multiplicative-update Algorithm.**

Consider a set of agents indexed by  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . At each step  $t = 1, 2, \dots$ , each of these agents may use one action selected from the set  $\{1, \dots, K\}$ . Agents simultaneously select an action in each step, and observe their rewards. The latter depend on the actions selected by the various agents. We denote by  $X_{ik}(t)$  the reward obtained by agent  $i$  when selecting action  $k$  at step  $t$ .  $X_{ik}(t)$  is a random variable whose distribution depends on the actions selected by all other agents. Assume that each agent selects at step  $t$  an action from probability distribution  $p_i(t)$ , independently of the actions selected by other agents. After step  $t$ , agent  $i$  updates her action distribution depending on the received reward in previous step. We consider two algorithms for these updates.

**Algorithm  $\times$ .** Agent  $i$  maintains a weight  $w_{ik}(t)$  for action  $k$ , and selects at step  $t$  action  $k$  with probability  $p_{ik}(t)$  proportional to  $w_{ik}(t)$ . After step  $t$ , the weights are updated as follows:

Let  $K_i(t)$  the action selected at step  $t$ . Then:

$$w_{ik}(t+1) = w_{ik}(t) \exp\left(\frac{\gamma_t X_{ik}(t)}{K p_{ik}(t)}\right), \quad \text{if } K_i(t) = k,$$

The other weights remain unchanged. The sequence  $\gamma_t$  is chosen so that  $\sum_t \gamma_t = \infty$  and  $\sum_t \gamma_t^2 < \infty$ .

**Algorithm  $+$ .** The algorithm is similar to Algorithm  $\times$ , except that:

$$w_{ik}(t+1) = w_{ik}(t) + \frac{\gamma_t X_{ik}(t)}{K p_{ik}(t)}, \quad \text{if } K_i(t) = k,$$

1. Prove that Algorithm  $\times$  mimics the replicator dynamics.
2. What about Algorithm  $+$ ?