

IT for Statistics and Learning

2024

Assignment 10

Assigned: Fr, Jan 26, 2024

Due: before the lecture on Fr, Feb 2, 2024

T. Oechtering

Problem 10.1: *Complete the proofs.* Show that the following properties hold for the Hellinger distance:

- (i) Le Cam's Inequality (Hint: Cauchy-Schwarz inequality could be useful.)

$$\|P_1 - P_2\|_{TV} \leq H(P_1\|P_2) \sqrt{1 - \frac{H^2(P_1\|P_2)}{4}}$$

- (ii) Decoupling property for product measures $P^{1:n} = \otimes_{i=1}^n P_i$ and $Q^{1:n} = \otimes_{i=1}^n Q_i$

$$\frac{1}{2} H^2(P^{1:n}\|Q^{1:n}) = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} H^2(P_i\|Q_i)\right) \stackrel{iid}{\leq} n \frac{1}{2} H^2(P_1\|Q_1)$$

Problem 10.2: *Bounds on Gaussian distribution family.* Recall the mean estimation of Gaussian distribution family from the lecture. Use the two-point form of Le Cam's method and Pinsker inequality to derive the following sharper lower bounds

$$\inf_{\hat{\theta}} \sup_{P_{\theta} \in \mathcal{P}} E_{P_{\theta}} [|\hat{\theta}(X_1^n) - \theta|] \geq \frac{\sigma}{8\sqrt{n}} \quad \inf_{\hat{\theta}} \sup_{P_{\theta} \in \mathcal{P}} E_{P_{\theta}} [|\hat{\theta}(X_1^n) - \theta|^2] \geq \frac{\sigma^2}{16n}$$

Problem 10.3: *Complete the proof.* For the lower bound in the logistic regression problem show that we have

$$\frac{1}{2^d d} \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{v \in \{-1, +1\}^d} \|P_{v, +j} - P_{v, -j}\|_{TV}^2 \leq \frac{\delta^2}{d} \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{i=1}^n X_{ij}^2 = \frac{\delta^2}{d} \|X\|_F^2 \quad (1)$$

To this end

- (i) show that for two distributions Bernoulli(p_a) and Bernoulli(p_b) with $p_a = \frac{1}{1+e^a}$ and $p_b = \frac{1}{1+e^b}$ we have

$$D(p_a\|p_b) + D(p_b\|p_a) \leq (a - b)^2.$$

- (ii) Use the previous inequality and two times Pinsker's inequality (note $\|P_1 - P_2\|_{TV} = \frac{1}{2}(\|P_1 - P_2\|_{TV} + \|P_2 - P_1\|_{TV})$) to show

$$\|P_v^n - P_{v'}^n\|_2 \leq \frac{\delta^2}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i^T(v - v'))^2$$

Show how the inequality (1) follows from this inequality.

Problem 10.4: *Distribution estimation.* Given n independent samples x_1, \dots, x_n drawn according to distribution P defined on finite set $\{1, 2, \dots, k\} = [k]$. In this problem we will show that the minimax rate¹ for estimating P with respect to the total variation is given as follows

$$\inf_{\hat{P}} \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}([k])} E_P [\|\hat{P}(X_1, \dots, X_n) - P\|_{TV}] \asymp \min\left\{\sqrt{\frac{k-1}{n}}, 1\right\}$$

¹Let L_n and U_n denote lower and upper bounds of the minimax risk. If $L_n = cn^{-\alpha}$ and $U_n = Cn^{-\alpha}$ for some c, C and α , then we have established the *minimax rate* $n^{-\alpha}$ and we write $R_n \asymp n^{-\alpha}$.

- (i) Show that the maximal likelihood estimator P_{MLE} coincides with the empirical distribution.
- (ii) Show that MLE is rate-optimal, i.e., the minimax rate is achieved within a constant factor.
- (iii) Establish the minimax lower bound via Assouard's lemma.
- (iv) Establish the minimax lower bound via Fano's inequality + volume or explicit packing.
- (v) (optional to trade one of the previous subquestion) Establish the minimax lower bound via mutual information method.