

Infotheory for Statistics and Learning

Lecture 8

- Selected recap
 - Basics statistical decision theory [PW, Chap. 28]
 - Variational representation of f -divergence [PW, Sect. 7.13]
- Statistical (lower) bounds [PW, Chap. 29]
 - Hammersley-Chapman-Robbins bound
 - Cramér-Rao bound
 - Fisher information

Framework of Statistical Decision Problem

Statistical experiment: Nature picks distribution with **parameter** θ from the set of probability distributions defined on a common probability space $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F})$

$$\mathcal{P} = \{P_\theta : \theta \in \Theta\}$$

- **Data** $X \sim P_\theta$ is observed
 - can be a random variable, vector, process etc. depending on \mathcal{X}

Estimator: We want to estimate $T(\theta)$ which is defined on \mathcal{Y} , which can be a θ itself, a relevant aspect or a function of θ .

- **Decision rule:** Compute $\hat{T} \in \hat{\mathcal{Y}}$ based on observed data X

$$\hat{T} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{Y}}$$

- randomized estimator $\hat{T} = \hat{T}(X, U)$, external RV U or $P_{\hat{T}|X}$

Choice of estimator depends on different factors including estimator properties, but mostly on the performance objective.

- **Loss function:**

$$l : \mathcal{Y} \times \hat{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad T \times \hat{T} \mapsto l(T, \hat{T})$$

- example: $T(\theta) = \theta$ and $l(\theta, \hat{\theta}) = \|\theta - \hat{\theta}\|_2^2$
- **Risk** of estimator \hat{T} at θ :

$$R_\theta = E_\theta[l(T, \hat{T})] = \int l(T(\theta), \hat{t}) P_{\hat{T}|X}(\hat{t}|x) P_\theta(x) d(x, \hat{t})$$

- $P_{\hat{T}|X}(\hat{t}|x)$ denotes the likelihood of \hat{t} after observing x
- log-likelihood function $\log P_{\hat{T}|X}(\hat{t}|x)$ is sometimes numerically beneficial, e.g, when x denotes a vector of iid observations
- **converses** correspond to **lower** bounds on the optimal loss/risk (achievable results/implementations are upper bounds)

Maximum Likelihood Estimator

- **Maximum Likelihood (ML) estimator.** Maximize the likelihood (fct) over parameter θ so that the observed data x is most likely
 - e.g. $T(\theta) = \theta$

$$\hat{T}(x) = \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} P_{\theta}(x)$$

- **Gaussian Location Model** (Additive Gaussian Noise)

- $\mathcal{P} = \{\mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma^2) : \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}$
- $X_i = \theta + Z_i$ with $Z_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$
- likelihood (fct) after observing x_1, \dots, x_n :

$$P_{\theta}(x_1^n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P_{\theta}(x_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x_i - \theta)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) = \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2})^n} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta)^2\right)$$

- Note that $P_{\theta}(x_1^n)$ is maximized if we minimize $\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta)^2$
 $0 = \frac{d}{d\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n -2(x_i - \theta)$ so that the minimizer is $\theta = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ML estimate } \hat{T}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

Fundamental limit – “Best estimator”

Performance is measured by the risk

$$R_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}) = E_{\theta}[l(\theta, \hat{\theta})]$$

Approaches to identify *a best estimator*

- **Naïve method:** Search for estimator $\hat{\theta}$ that is better than all other estimator θ' for all $\theta \in \Theta$, i.e. $R_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}) \leq R_{\theta}(\theta') \forall \theta' \forall \theta$.
 - often there does not exist one $\hat{\theta}$ that is uniformly the best

Standard approaches that reduce the candidate set

- **Method 1:** Limit the class of competitors of $\hat{\theta}$
 - e.g. restricting to unbiased estimators or invariant estimators
- **Method 2:** Bayes (Bayesian) approach - average analysis
- **Method 3:** Minimax approach - worst-case analysis

Bayes risk

Average risk analysis with **prior** probability distribution π on Θ

$$R_\pi(\hat{\theta}) = E_{\theta \sim \pi} R_\theta(\hat{\theta}) = E_{\theta, X}[l(\theta, \hat{\theta})]$$

- **Bayes risk:** Minimum average risk $R_\pi^* = \inf_{\hat{\theta}} R_\pi(\hat{\theta})$
- Limitation: Need to know/assume the prior distribution
 - Worst case Bayes risk: $R_B^* = \sup_\pi R_\pi^*$

Example:

- **MMSE:** Minimum mean square error $R_\pi^* = E[\|\theta - E[\theta|X]\|_2^2]$

Minimax risk

Worst-case risk analysis is based on **minimax risk**

$$R^* = \inf_{\hat{\theta}} \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} R_{\theta}(\hat{\theta})$$

Theorem (Minimax risk \geq worst-case Bayes risk)

$$R^* \geq R_B^* = \sup_{\pi} R_{\pi}^* = \sup_{\pi} \inf_{\hat{\theta}} R_{\pi}(\hat{\theta})$$

Proof.

$\forall \hat{\theta}, \pi : \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} R_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}) \geq E_{\theta \sim \pi}[R_{\theta}(\hat{\theta})] = R_{\pi}(\hat{\theta})$, consider $\sup_{\pi} \inf_{\hat{\theta}}$ □

- key idea also later for lower bounds on minimax risk: Consider Bayes risk with smart prior results in lower bound on R^* .
- result is *weak duality*, minimax theorem is *strong duality*

Variational representation of f -divergence

Legendre-Fenchel transform: Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ be a function (not necessarily convex), then $f^* : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ with

$$f^*(a) = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} [\langle a, x \rangle - f(x)]$$

is the **conjugate** of f (aka Legendre-Fenchel conjugate).

- f^* is convex.
- If f is convex, then $(f^*)^* = f$ (biconjugation)

Similarly, the convex conjugate for any convex functional $\Psi(P)$ defined on the space of measures can be defined as

$$\Psi^*(g) = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \int g dP - \Psi(P)$$

Biconjugation holds under certain conditions (e.g. domain of g is finite)

$$\Psi(P) = \sup_g \int g dP - \Psi^*(P)$$

This can be applied to convex functional $P \mapsto D_f(P\|Q)$ which provides **variational representation of f -divergence**,¹ where f^* denotes the convex conjugate of f

$$D_f(P\|Q) = E_Q \left[f \left(\frac{P}{Q} \right) \right] = \sup_{g: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{dom}(f^*)} E_P [g(X)] - E_Q [f^*(g(X))]$$

where g is such that both expectations are finite.

¹Generalization to infinite domains requires a technical partition argument, for more details see

- **Total variation:** $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}|x - 1|$ with convex conjugate

$$f^*(y) = \sup_x \{xy - \frac{1}{2}|x - 1|\} = \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } |y| > \frac{1}{2} \\ y & \text{if } |y| \leq \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

$$TV(P, Q) = \sup_{g: |g| \leq \frac{1}{2}} E_P [g(X)] - E_Q [g(X)]$$

- **Relative entropy (aka KL divergence),** $f(x) = x \log x$ with $f^*(y) = \exp(y - 1)$

$$D(P||Q) = 1 + \sup_{g: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}} E_P [g(X)] - E_Q [\exp(g(X))]$$

- **Donsker-Varadhan representation** (proof see [PW, Sect. 3.3])
 $D(P||Q) = \sup_{g: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}} E_P [g(X)] - \log E_Q [\exp(g(X))]$, which is stronger since RHS is tighter for any g due to $\log(1 + t) \leq t$

- χ^2 -divergence, $f(x) = (x - 1)^2$ with $f^*(y) = y + \frac{1}{4}y^2$ (HW)

$$\chi^2(P, Q) = \sup_{g: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}} E_P [g(X)] - E_Q [g(X) + \frac{1}{4}g^2(X)],$$

- with substitution $h(x) = \frac{1}{2}g(x) + 1$ we get

$$\chi^2(P, Q) = \sup_{h: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}} 2E_P [h(X)] - E_Q [h^2(X)] - 1,$$

Variational representations provide a systematic analytical approach to obtain lower bounds: $\chi^2(P, Q)$ representation restricted to affine functions $h(x) = ax + b$

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^2(P, Q) &\geq \sup_{a, b \in \mathbb{R}} 2(aE_P [X] + b) - E_Q [(aX + b)^2] - 1 \\ &\stackrel{\text{(HW)}}{=} \frac{(E_P [X] - E_Q [X])^2}{\text{Var}_Q[X]} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Hammersley-Chapman-Robbins lower bound

Setup: Data $X \sim P_\theta$, parameter of interest $\theta \in \Theta$, estimator $\hat{\theta}(X)$ (possibly random), cost of prediction error $l(\theta, \hat{\theta}) = (\theta - \hat{\theta})^2$.

- Interested in **lower bound on risk** $R_\theta(\hat{\theta}) = E_\theta[(\theta - \hat{\theta})^2]$ of estimator $\hat{\theta}$ given the distribution of real parameter θ !

$$E_\theta[(\theta - \hat{\theta})^2] = E_\theta[(\theta - E_\theta[\hat{\theta}] + E_\theta[\hat{\theta}] - \hat{\theta})^2] = \dots = E_\theta[(bias(\hat{\theta}))^2] + \text{Var}_\theta[\hat{\theta}]$$

Theorem (Hammersley-Chapman-Robbins lower bound)

For the quadratic loss $l(\theta, \hat{\theta}) = (\theta - \hat{\theta})^2$, any estimator $\hat{\theta}(X)$ satisfies

$$R_\theta(\hat{\theta}) \geq \sup_{\theta' \neq \theta} \frac{(E_{\theta'}[\hat{\theta}] - E_\theta[\hat{\theta}])^2}{\chi^2(P_{\theta'}, P_\theta)} \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta$$

Proof Hammersley-Chapman-Robbins lower bound

Approach: Utilize derived bound (1) on $\chi^2(P, Q)$. Identify distributions P and Q & data processing ineq. In more detail:

- In (1) set $Q = P_{\theta}$. For P , suppose X was produced by $P_{\theta'}$ with $\theta \neq \theta' \in \Theta$.
- Let $Q_{\hat{\theta}}$ and $P_{\hat{\theta}}$ denote the distributions on $\hat{\theta}$ generated by X distributed according to P_{θ} and $P_{\theta'}$ respectively.
 - Estimator $\hat{\theta}(X)$ acts a channel that transfers X into $\hat{\theta}$.

$$\chi^2(P_{\theta'}, P_{\theta}) \stackrel{\text{data proc. ineq.}}{\geq} \chi^2(P_{\hat{\theta}}, Q_{\hat{\theta}}) \stackrel{(1)}{\geq} \frac{(E_{\theta'}[\hat{\theta}] - E_{\theta}[\hat{\theta}])^2}{\text{Var}_{\theta}[\hat{\theta}]}$$

- Swap LHS with denominator and use $R_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}) \geq \text{Var}_{\theta}[\hat{\theta}]$.
- Bound holds for all $\theta' \in \Theta$ and $R_{\theta}(\hat{\theta})$ does not depend on θ' , thus tighten bound by taking $\sup_{\theta' \neq \theta}$ provides desired result.

□

Cramér-Rao lower bound

- Cramér-Rao lower bound can be derived from Hammersley-Chapman-Robbins lower bound
- Restricted to unbiased estimators, i.e., $E_{\theta}[\hat{\theta}(\theta)] = \theta$.
- Derivation requires regularity conditions to be satisfied

Theorem (Cramér-Rao lower bound)

$$\text{Var}_{\theta}[\hat{\theta}] \geq \frac{1}{I(\theta)}$$

with $I(\theta) = \int \frac{\left(\frac{dP_{\theta}(x)}{d\theta}\right)^2}{P_{\theta}(x)} dx$, which is the **Fisher information** of the parametric family of densities $\{P_{\theta} : \theta \in \Theta\}$ at θ (if it exists).

- Interpretation: The Fisher information is a measure of information in the data that is useful for the estimation task.

Proof Cramér-Rao lower bound

- HCR bound for unbiased estimators and $\theta' \rightarrow \theta$ becomes

$$\text{Var}_\theta[\hat{\theta}] \stackrel{\text{HCR}}{\geq} \sup_{\theta' \neq \theta} \frac{(E_{\theta'}[\hat{\theta}] - E_\theta[\hat{\theta}])^2}{\chi^2(P_{\theta'}, P_\theta)} \geq \lim_{\theta' \rightarrow \theta} \frac{(\theta' - \theta)^2}{\chi^2(P_{\theta'}, P_\theta)} \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta.$$

- Taylor series expansion for $P_\theta - P_{\theta'}$ at θ' for θ close to θ' :

$$P_\theta - P_{\theta'} = (\theta - \theta') \frac{d(P_\theta - P_{\theta'})}{d\theta} + o((\theta - \theta')^2) = (\theta - \theta') \frac{dP_\theta}{d\theta} + o((\theta - \theta')^2)$$

- With $\chi^2(P_{\theta'}, P_\theta) = \int \frac{(P_\theta - P_{\theta'})^2}{P_\theta} = (\theta' - \theta)^2 \int \frac{(\frac{dP_\theta}{d\theta} + \frac{o((\theta - \theta')^2)}{\theta - \theta'})^2}{P_\theta}$

$$\lim_{\theta' \rightarrow \theta} \frac{(\theta' - \theta)^2}{\chi^2(P_{\theta'}, P_\theta)} = \lim_{\theta' \rightarrow \theta} \frac{1}{\int \frac{(\frac{dP_\theta}{d\theta} + \frac{o((\theta - \theta')^2)}{\theta - \theta'})^2}{P_\theta}} = \frac{1}{\int \frac{(\frac{dP_\theta}{d\theta})^2}{P_\theta}}$$

□

Fisher information

$$I(\theta) = \int \left(\frac{\frac{dP_\theta(x)}{d\theta}}{P_\theta(x)} \right)^2 P_\theta(x) dx = E_\theta \left[\left(\frac{d \log P_\theta(x)}{d\theta} \right)^2 \right]$$

- **Regularity condition (HW):** $I(\theta) = -E_\theta \left[\frac{d^2 \log P_\theta}{d\theta^2} \right]$ if P_θ is twice differentiable and we have

$$\int \frac{d^2 P_\theta(x)}{d\theta^2} dx = \frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \int P_\theta(x) dx = 0.$$

- **Multiple samples (HW):** Let $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim P_\theta$ iid, then

$$I_n(\theta) = nI(\theta)$$

holds where $I_n(\theta)$ and $I(\theta)$ denote the vector-valued and single-letter Fisher information.

Multivariate HCR/CR lower bounds

Consider multi-dimensional case with $\theta, \theta', \hat{\theta}$ and x defined on \mathbb{R}^p

- Multivariate version of HCR lower bound: $\forall \theta, \theta \in \Theta$

$$\chi^2(P_{\theta'}, P_{\theta}) \geq (E_{\theta'}[\hat{\theta}] - E_{\theta}[\hat{\theta}])^T \text{cov}_{\theta}[\hat{\theta}]^{-1} (E_{\theta'}[\hat{\theta}] - E_{\theta}[\hat{\theta}])$$

with $\text{cov}_{\theta}[\hat{\theta}] = E_{\theta} \left[(\hat{\theta} - E_{\theta}[\hat{\theta}])(\hat{\theta} - E_{\theta}[\hat{\theta}])^T \right] \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$

- Multivariate CR lower bound
 - considering unbiased estimators $\hat{\theta}$, i.e. $E_{\theta}[\hat{\theta}] = \theta$

$$\text{cov}_{\theta}[\hat{\theta}] \succeq I(\theta)^{-1}$$

with Fisher information matrix $I(\theta) = \int \frac{\nabla_{\theta} P_{\theta}(x)(\nabla_{\theta} P_{\theta}(x))^T}{P_{\theta}(x)} dx$

- $I(\theta) = -E_{\theta} \left[\frac{\partial^2 \log P_{\theta}}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j} \right]$ if Hessian satisfies regularity condition

Bayesian Cramér-Rao lower bound

- **Bayesian approach:** Parameter $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ with prior dist. π
- loss function $l(\theta, \hat{\theta}) = (\theta - \hat{\theta})^2$
- consider unbiased estimators $\hat{\theta}$, i.e. $E_{\theta}[\hat{\theta}] = \theta$

Theorem (Bayesian Cramér-Rao lower bound)

$$R_{\pi}^* = \inf_{\hat{\theta}} R_{\pi}(\hat{\theta}) = \inf_{\hat{\theta}} E_{\theta \sim \pi}[l(\theta, \hat{\theta})] \geq \frac{1}{E_{\theta \sim \pi}[I(\theta)] + I(\pi)}$$

with $I(\pi) = \int \frac{(d\pi(\theta)/d\theta)^2}{\pi(\theta)} d\theta$ Fisher information of the prior given that suitable regularity conditions hold such as (*)

$$\int \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} (P_{\theta}(X)\pi(\theta)) d\theta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \int (P_{\theta}(X)\pi(\theta)) d\theta = 0.$$

- Result can be derived with previous arguments deriving first Bayesian HCR with clever choice of distribution in χ^2 -term.

Classical proof for Bayesian CR lower bound

- Due to the regularity condition and integration by parts we have $\int (-\theta) \frac{\partial(P_\theta(x)\pi(\theta))}{\partial\theta} d\theta = \int P_\theta(x)\pi(\theta)d\theta$ and $\int \hat{\theta}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}(P_\theta(x)\pi(\theta))d\theta = 0$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\theta X} \left[\left(\hat{\theta}(X) - \theta \right) \frac{\partial \log(P_\theta(X)\pi(\theta))}{\partial \theta} \right] \\ = \int \int (\hat{\theta}(x) - \theta) \frac{\partial(P_\theta(x)\pi(\theta))}{\partial\theta} \frac{P_\theta(x)\pi(\theta)}{P_\theta(x)\pi(\theta)} d\theta dx = 1 \end{aligned}$$

- Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality on (LHS)² and rearrange

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \left(E_{\theta X} \left[\left(\hat{\theta}(X) - \theta \right) \frac{\partial \log(P_\theta(X)\pi(\theta))}{\partial \theta} \right] \right)^2 \\ &\leq \underbrace{E_{\theta X} \left[\left(\hat{\theta}(X) - \theta \right)^2 \right]}_{=R_\pi(\hat{\theta})} \underbrace{E_{\theta X} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \log(P_\theta(X)\pi(\theta))}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 \right]}_{\stackrel{(*)}{=} -E_{\theta X} \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log(P_\theta(X)\pi(\theta)) \right] = E_\theta [I(\theta)] + I(\pi)} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$